



Appendix C

SFADS Expert Feedback Form

Evaluating Conceptual Relevance and Clarity of the SFADS Dimensions.

Expert Information

Name of Expert: _____ Designation: _____

Institution / Organization: _____ Country: _____

E-mail address: _____

Year of First Training in SF Approach: _____ Trainer's Name: _____

Years of Experience with the SF Approach: ☐ Less than 5 years ☐ 5-10 ☐ More than 10 years

Instructions to Experts

Please evaluate each of the ten SFADS dimensions for conceptual clarity and relevance. Use the 1–4 scale below and add brief comments or suggestions where needed. Your feedback will inform revisions to strengthen the content validity of the SFADS. If any term or concept appears culturally specific, kindly indicate how it might be rephrased or adapted.

Rating Guide

Rating	Meaning
1	Not relevant
2	Slightly relevant – requires major revision
3	Relevant – requires minor revision
4	Very relevant – no revision needed



Appendix C

SFADS Expert Feedback Form

Evaluating Conceptual Relevance and Clarity of the SFADS Dimensions.

Dimension Ratings Table

Dimension	Rating (1–4)	Comments / Suggestions
1. Linguistic Sync		
2. Sync Across Differences		
3. Rapport		
4. Mandate		
5. Goal Agreement		
6. Sense of Agency		
7. Social Support		
8. Belief in the Client		
9. Resilience		
10. Client’s Perception of Progress		

General Comments or Suggestions for Improvement

Please comment on overall clarity, comprehensiveness, cultural adaptability, and any dimensions that may overlap or be missing. Any additional remarks regarding terminology, clarity, or cultural relevance are welcome.